

Creation in public space: Overview



Introduction

Chapter 1: Bases

Lesson 1: Origins

Lesson 1: From street arts to arts in public space

Lesson 1: Public space Lesson 1: An unlimited stage

Lesson 1: Plurality

Chapter 2: Aesthetics

Lesson 1: Vision Lesson 2: Main topics lesson 3: New trends

Chapter 3: Organisation

Lesson 1: Professions

Lesson 2: Programming networks

Lesson 3: Sector's actors

Lesson 4: International networks



Module 1

Creation in public space: Overview > Introduction

Jean-Sébastien:

Hi everyone! We are going to spend four weeks together exploring the exciting theme of artistic creation in public space. This week we'll start by discovering what that means. What exactly is art in public space? The field is often defined implicitly, unlike other well-identified art forms s uch as theatre, dance, music and visual art.

The main idea is that art in public space is not an artistic discipline. It is a particular way of bringing art to unusual spaces or spaces that are not designed for art. To discover this field of contemporary creation, we will focus on three areas that correspond to the three lessons for this week:

The first lesson covers the historical angle. It looks back at the birth of street arts in the 1970s and 80s, and covers the later emergence of the concept of art in public space. It also highlights similarities and differences between these two artistic movements.

The second lesson focuses on artistic projects. It provides keys for understanding them and identifies the main themes explored by artists. It also examines the aesthetic trends of the last fifteen years.

The third lesson looks at the professional organisation of this artistic sector in Europe and internationally.

Get ready for more than a month of exploring a world of huge possibilities. I hope that we'll pique your curiosity and that you'll learn lots.

It's time for me to hand over to your guides, Léa and Guillaume.

I'll see you next week!





Creation in public space: Overview > Bases > Origins

In the nineteen seventies, an entire generation of artists took to the street. They rejected the restrictions of academic arts and the development of a new kind of austere and dominating urbanisation.

This was the golden age of major urban building complexes and commercial retail centres. Artists sought to create a festive counter-response to this mass architecture.

This new artistic movement developed across Europe, taking on different forms and names depending on the country. For instance, in France, it became street arts, in Germany, street theatre and in Poland activist theatre.

Artists used the traditions of village and agricultural celebrations, fairs and carnivals. They intended to revive what was named the public-population community. This artistic movement was clearly political: they chose the city as the ideal place for gathering, meeting and building a collective identity. Indeed, leaving the confines of places dedicated to culture meant presenting art to all passers-by and city users, regardless of their cultural or social background. For the duration of a performance, the audience would become a group, a temporary community rooted in a place. Hopefully, it would generate a feeling of being together, sharing a common citizenship.

In terms of aesthetic, street artists got inspired by avant-garde artistic research, developing at that time in Europe and in the United States. A series of US artists travelled to Europe, and their works had a strong impact among artists. This was the case of Judith Malina and Julian Beck, who came to Europe with their Living Theatre.

In Austria, Fluxus Viennese actionism also inspired many artists of the continent. The intention of these avant-gardists was to challenge the traditional theatre model. Their purpose was to emancipate and liberate the audience. The new generation of street artists therefore naturally became engaged in the sweeping social movements of the time. They contributed to turn the street into the new theatre of history.

This new outdoor theatre, as a three hundred- and sixty-degrees theatre gradually established its own rules. Many artists created fixed or moving structures. The construction of wood, metal and plastic floats for instance or even puppets gave rise to enormous, or minimal forms. Mobile theater imposed its own rules. By doing and testing, companies invented new ways of using spaces, but also new ways of writing and using texts and language,

The theatrical aspect remained important in most works. However, artists invented new ways of doing theatre, really different from what you could find indoors. They were constantly breaking the rigid rules of tradition and institutions.



Module 1

Creation in public space: Overview > Bases > From street arts to arts in public space

Artistic creation in public space is obviously a direct descendent of street art. Yet, the social and political climate has changed over the last 40 years.

In Europe, street arts have become a sector. Professional organizations have been created covering the local, national and international scale. With the years, aesthetics and formats have also been renewed.

The name has transitioned from street arts to artistic creation in public space. Beyond the words, this change addresses two major issues.

It merges practices such as architecture, urban planning, street art and landscape art. Indeed, these are increasingly being used together to work on developing the city and social ties. Many artistic projects question the city, the landscape, and our relationship to space and housing. They don't define themselves as artistic projects only, but also as social and cultural projects. This merging of practices and concerns also brings some aesthetic evolutions.

On the other hand, the change in vocabulary also reflects a practical necessity. It allows to create a common vocabulary across Europe, to define artistic practices that are different from one country to another. In addition, by helping identify organizations sharing the same concerns, this new vocabulary encourages collaborations between professionals from different countries.

In the early 2000's, networks dedicated to arts in public space began developing across Europe. Amongst them, Eunetstar, the IN SITU network and Circostrada. They put in relation producers and artists from different countries, sharing practices and ideas and offering opportunities for artists to present their projects abroad. By doing so, they contributed to blending practices and inspirations.

English is the main language of these international networks. This also generated a new artistic vocabulary, often insufficient in national vocabulary. Locally, many artists continue to identify their work as street art.

The term art in public space, on the other hand, has incorporated a whole new generation of artists and works: they do not associate themselves with street arts, but do focus on the city and social ties, and interactions between art and the places.





Creation in public space: Overview > Bases > Public space

Public space generally means any physical, symbolic or virtual space that is accessible to everyone. There is some debate about the origins of this term. It's said to have been created by the German philosopher Jurgen Habermas. When studying the formation of the bourgeoisie in the 18th century, he developed the concept of the public sphere.

For him, public sphere described different communication strategies used by the bourgeoisie to influence government decisions. It referred to an intangible space for debate and discussion that acted as a political counterweight. This conception of public sphere, or public space, has often been compared to the Greek agora. It's understood as an ideal gathering place for exercising and expressing citizenship.

Around the same time, American sociologists known as interactionists defined public spaces as all the social interactions that occur within the anonymity of the city. They revealed the social strategies we use to coexist in social places, showing that public spaces are socially ruled and controlled by each of us: we constantly hide emotions, negotiate spaces or control our gazes... Here again, public space is not directly defined by physical spaces. It describes usage rituals associated with non-private spaces.

The material aspect appears more in the nineteen sixties and seventies, when geographers began using it to describe all public spaces that are openly and freely accessible.

Today, the term public space usually refers to places open to the public, regardless of their legal status. A place can be considered public although it is privately owned. For instance, shopping centres are often considered public spaces. Standard definitions are not enough to reflect the social reality that arise from customs and collective representations.

This brief overview should make you understand that public space is not a fixed, simple notion.

Yet, it's far from being complete: there are many debates around what public space is, should or should not be.

This rich diversity of meanings leaves a broad freedom of interpretation for artists who use and create in, for and with public spaces.



Module 1

Creation in public space: Overview > Bases > An unlimited stage

It may sound obvious: art in public space takes place in sites that aren't dedicated to art. It uses the city or the landscape as if they were **open stages**. This simple fact directly impacts the way of writing, staging, and thinking the relationship with the audience. There are limitless possibilities to open up the stage and make an entire city part of the performance.

You'll find some works that are designed for very specific spaces: let's say a metro station, an industrial wasteland or a bus stop. But you can also find many projects that don't rely on specific types of spaces. They can be adapted, or remounted (as professionals say), in many situations: in cities or the country, for buildings or landscapes... Some are even played for both indoors and outdoors.

You can thus understand that art in public space projects consider the relation to space in many different ways. We will develop some of these in the upcoming weeks of this MOOC.

What you should remember for now, is that there's always an interaction between the works and the places, that artistic teams and producers need to take into account when developing a project. They need to conduct field work to explore spaces to understand where they are and who lives there and test in real spaces. For each remount, they also have to adapt the works to the surroundings.





Creation in public space: Overview > Bases > Plurality

Art in public spaces covers a wide range of different formats. Plurality could be a keyword at every level of creation.

Art in public space and street arts are not considered an artistic discipline in their own right like theatre or dance. They are by nature a hybrid across different traditional disciplines such as dance, theatre, visual arts, music and circus. They also touch on other fields that border art, social sciences and technology: such as architecture, light and robotics.

In some cases, by crossing disciplines, art in public space has contributed to emergence of new artistic practices and forms of expression. This is the case with vertical dancing, where performers are attached to cords and interact with architecture, facades, buildings and bridges. A number of companies now practice this, including Retouramont and Compagnie Lézards bleus in France, Vertical Dance Kate Lawrence in Wales or Histeria Nova in Croatia.

Plurality also addresses scales: Until the early two-thousands, large format shows or works of art had the highest public profile. Shows also often gave priority to large audiences, from several hundred to several thousand audience members. Over the last 15 years, these two trends have expanded with new projects intended for just one person or a small audience. They focus on unique or extremely small-scale experiences, instead of huge productions. All in all, performances go from micro to huge format works.

The duration of productions has also significantly evolved. Earlier, performances lasted a few minutes or hours. Certain projects are now running over several days or weeks. Some locally-oriented projects, based on the relationships with local inhabitants, can even last for more than a year! The time factor has a major influence on the relationships developed with the audience and local community.

Modes of communicating with the audience are another factor of plurality in the sector. Some productions continue to use conventional staging patterns that create an invisible wall between the actors and the audience. It is known as the fourth wall. Others get the audience involved before or during the production, making them active participants in the artistic project.

Olivier Grossetête's project the Fleeting City is a perfect example of these participatory projects. Here, the audience combines forces to build a cardboard monument. This type of audience participation can take place at each stage of the project and to varying extents.



Module 1

Creation in public space : overview > Bases > Interview Pascal Le Brun-Cordier

It's a shape-shifting, multi-context art. For me, it's an art form that falls a bit under Dubuffet's definition of Outsider Art. In other words, art that falls outside the mainstream art world, outside the black box of theatre, the white cube of museums or galleries, to explore and roam the world. It's an art form that can be fleeting, short-lived, or lasting.

It's art that can be highly visible and spectacular, or it can be extremely discreet, subtle and slip into the infraordinary.

It's art that can be highly consensual or create dissent. It's art that can be produced in public space or with public space. Lastly, I'd say that whatever its differences, it's art that is always political. Because it steps outside the confines of spaces dedicated to art and reconfigures and transforms public space.

It's what Jacques Rancière refers to as the distribution of the sensible. Personally, I see eight trends: The first is site-specific artistic creation, where artists

use urban and human environments as the raw material for their art.

The second trend is immersive artistic creation. How visual or performing arts create environments and worlds into which we are immersed.

The third trend is what I call experiential artistic creation. It's art that provides us with an experience,

that involves us, that concerns us, that invites us to become active participants in an experience. And sometimes it actually deeply affects and changes us.

Then, there's artistic creation that communicates with the urban fabric, during the assessment, construction or the actual design of public spaces, or the activation of the city.

Then there's artivism, which uses public anger to create works that have an impact, that bring concrete changes to situations.

Then there are large-scale formats. Art that crosses the landscape, that is on the scale of several communities or cities. Finally comes artistic creation that incorporates the media issue, which creates media in parallel with artistic creations presented.

Last of all, there's ecological art, art that communicates with nature. Art that explores our relationship with the natural world. We know that public space has a dual connotation.

It's both a physical space and a socio-political space, a space for discussion and debate. It is the public sphere referred to by Habermas. The notion of art in public space therefore underlines this political dimension.



Module 1

Creation in public space: Overview > Aesthetics > Vision

Street theatre gives prominence to collective in situ projects. These unique production conditions mean that there is a limited formal repertoire and few written records of artistic works. They leave a **trace in people's memories** more than a concrete trace of their existence.

This concept of trace is important because it incarnates the collective and social memory of a moment in time shared in a given space. Artists use the performance to try and stimulate the senses and emotions associated with spaces in order to enliven them with new imagination.

Modernity and commercialisation tend to gloss over public spaces and empty them of their symbolic content, but lots of artists are working to revive these spaces and reawaken their symbolic meaning.

At the same time, they are standing up for art that is open to everyone, and not just experienced or privileged audiences. Street theatre has sought to restore a direct connection with the people. To do this, street festivals and buskers have often been seen as a reference.

Although these references are from the past, there is nothing old-fashioned about the approach of street artists. Rather, they directly explore the contemporary city as a rich and complex place for expressing social interactions.

The city is transformed into a 360° stage, the perfect place for play and dialogue between artists and their audiences. In this approach, the audience is the local population. In France in the 1980's, there was even the idea of a public-population.

The performance is put on for the culturally and socially diverse population passing by as they go about their day. It stops them and gathers a crowd, creating a collective identity. This can last for just a moment, but it needs to be powerful enough to regenerate a sense of community.

Outreach is another important principle that can structure this temporary collective identity over time. By accompanying audiences as they discover the artistic work, it highlights its activist intent and the idea of art's integrative mission.



Module 1

Creation in public space : overview > Aesthetics > Interview Neil Butler

Art in public space is a really helpful term because it allows us to talk about art and every art form that takes place outside conventional venues. In Britain, you can trace it, all the way back to Shakespeare, you can trace it back to performances — folk performances — that took place in the countryside, and in villages and towns.

But it's perhaps more interesting to talk about what's happened since the 60s. In the late 60s and early 70s, art in public space in Britain was really concerned with supporting artists and theater makers who wanted to work outside conventional venues.

So, most of the work taking place was what you might call experimental theater, experimental dance and performance art.

As time went on, more and more of the work became orientated towards entertainment because there wasn't the money available for the funding organizations to export experimentation.

But as we went through the 80s and the 90s, we looked towards France in particular, where we saw street theater taking place, which was, for many of us, an inspiration.

And the street theater of France probably led the direction of where art in public space was to go in Britain in the 80s and the 90s, and perhaps into the turn of the millennium.

So now, as we've gone into the 21st century, there's a wide range of festivals taking place in Britain: Greenwich and Docklands festival, Norwich and

Norfolk festival, the Freedom festival, and many others.

All of who are commissioning artists, supporting artists, in all art forms, to create work in public space. We have a funding organization called Without Walls, that commissions artists and then shares their work across the country.

For ourselves in Scotland, inspired by IN SITU, we do a lot of work commissioning and we work through residences, and our residences are based in Britain, across Europe, and in Sri Lanka.

I think we've seen various tendencies taking place, and a tendency of the moment, perhaps, are those artists who are involved in publicly engaged practice. That's to say that the work is inspired by the public, either in terms of the public's histories or because it's essential that the public are involved in making the work

And that work could be taking place in the streets and communities, but often maybe in hospitals and prisons, in various institutions across the country. Properly over the last 10 years, there's been a remarkable development of landscape-based work, where visual artists and performance artists are working with the landscape.

Wildworks is a very good example in the Southwest of England, while NVA in Scotland have been making work across the landscape on a monumental scale.





Creation in public space: Overview > Aesthetics > Main topics

It's impossible to cover all the major themes of art in public space. The following is a simple overview of the main trends in contemporary artistic creation.

In keeping with the cross-cutting concepts of return and public-population evoked earlier, many artists like to play with the idea of myth and ritual. They draw inspiration from history or mythology, and conjure up a common memory to create a shared emotion.

The giant puppets of the French company La Machine are inspired by popular mythology and offer a great example of this theme. Their latest project, *Le Minotaure*, was presented for the first time in Toulouse in November 2018. The event was presented as a myth in four acts. The giant character was portrayed as the protector of the city, looking for its lost temple in the middle of the labyrinth-like city.

The issue of community is also highly present in the field. Many artists use different forms to explore new ways to create unity or a sense of community. Some major projects, for instance, need an entire community to participate and join forces. This is the case of *Dominoes*, from the British company Station House Opera, where a line of breezeblocks crosses the town over several kilometres. Hundreds of volunteers work together to set up the line and make sure it doesn't fall down before the official time. The experience is therefore a shared responsibility that gets people to pool their energy together for a common goal.

Other works explore forms of democracy. For example, in *The Night*, the Danish company, HelloEarth gives the audience an entire night to be together and rethink the future of humanity.

Street arts were historically born of a desire to challenge the existing power and the traditional constraints of art. It therefore comes as no surprise that demanding fundamental rights such as freedom of expression and equality is the breeding ground for many projects.

This is the case of *Super Tunisian* by Moufida Fedhila. In May 2011, during the Arab Spring, the Tunisian artist wandered the streets of Tunis wearing a Superwoman costume and carrying a sign that said Super Tunisian. She asked passers-by to vote for the character and her political plan, coming to the rescue of Tunisia. By doing so, she challenged the stranglehold of power over the arts and hoped to awaken citizen, political and artistic consciousness.

Denunciation can also be indirect. The all-female Kosovo-based collective HAVEIT provides a great example. They use a series of symbolic performances to denounce social violence against women in their country. For instance, with *Shoening*, they offer to shine people's shoes, according to local custom. But while they're shining their customer's shoes, they talk about the daily domestic violence they experience at home.

Other projects use plagiarism and hoaxes to get their message across. In 2017, CIFAS and La Fabrique de Théâtre organised a week-long residency for ten artists, accompanied by the American duo, Yes Men. At the end of the week, the performance – or hoax – titled *RefuGreenergy* was presented in central Brussels. The idea was to ask illegal migrants to pedal bikes to recharge the mobile phones of Brussels residents. In exchange, they would be given three Euros per day and 24-hours of amnesty. The whole thing was made to look like the launch of a bona fide start-up. The project mocks existing rhetoric and criticises inhumane ideas disguised as civility.

Let's continue our overview of the main themes in artistic creation. Some projects seek to document and expose day-to-day social, political and cultural issues that we often ignore. They appear neutral but often carry a strong political component.

For example, Italian visual and sound creator Marco Barotti installs robot woodpeckers in the city. They capture the electromagnetic waves emitted by our mobile phones and play them back as rhythms tapped out on urban architecture. He gives

© FAI-AR, MOOC Create in public space, 2019



perceptible form to an omnipresent yet impalpable phenomenon.

Another example is the German company Rimini Protokol's 100% project. It uses real-time statistical analysis of a panel of one hundred participants chosen to reflect the population of the place where it is staged. They use this simple approach to show the social, cultural, generational and human diversity of the analysed city.

In No Man's Land, by Dutch artist Dries Verhoeven, each audience member is invited to follow a character. They walk from the train station to different neighbourhoods of the city, listening to stories from their immigrant guide through a headset, sharing a highly moving experience in a camera obscura. There is clearly a political intent to create empathy and better awareness of refugees in a society that ignores them.

Many artists also explore and create around different ways of living and using shared spaces. Sometimes this means showing shared spaces for what they are, or suggesting other ways of seeing or occupying the city. In *Sketch*, Belgian artist Lucas de Man gives residents the opportunity to create their own project for the future of their city.

He provides them with a paper canvas the size of a small house, installed in public space for three days. With *Nouvel Art de Ville*, the French company Icimême Paris created a series of urban furniture with new uses: a bench that turns into a convertible bed, a public shower in a telephone booth and other inventions that spur reflection about our uses of spaces and suggest new ones.

In Europe, many architecture, urban planning and sociologist collectives have been developing over the years along similar lines. They work on the local surroundings without necessarily calling it art. Their actions explore the uses of public spaces in order to reinvent them.

For example, the Danish collective, Bureau Detours, creates temporary urban utopias. They build urban furniture in public with the help of passers-by and close off a street to create temporary space for relaxing and socialising.



Module 1

Creation in public space : overview > Aesthetics > Interview Fabienne Aulagnier

The Dominoes project is the brainchild of director and scenographer Julian Maynard Smith.

The project is pretty ambitious and pretty insane since it involves building a line of giant dominoes across the city. In 2014, it was done in Marseille, with a line running from the Gare Saint Charles train station all the way to the Vieux Port, ending with an impressive sculpture.

The project takes shape over time. Julian and his team first come to recce the location about six to eight months before the big day and we work with them to determine a first potential trail.

Then they go back to England and we get to work to get private spaces such as bars, restaurants and apartments involved along with municipal services to ensure the feasibility of the project.

For the organiser, the project really demands a long preparation period, and specific contact with a lot of people and a lot of contacts, since a neighbourhood or access to a parking garage of block of houses might be blocked. So you have to go and see every resident, every car owner.

Another obstacle can be if we want to go through a space that we don't know who the owner is. The great thing about the project is that it involves a lot of volunteer participants.

In Marseille, we had over 200 volunteers who are residents of the neighbourhood, or others, who come voluntarily to help build the line of dominoes all day. The line really creates a link with the geography of the city, and between people and neighbours. It's an amazing day when we knock down the first domino, and tac tac tac... for three kilometres, they all fall down one after another.

There was a real moment of crazy excitement in Marseille. It was insane!

There were hordes of people running after the dominoes as they fell down.



Module 1

Creation in public space : overview > Aesthetics > Interview Marco Barotti

My name is Marco Barotti, I'm a multimedia artist based in Berlin. And I'm here in Marseille to present The Woodpeckers: an audiovisual intervention in urban and natural environment, this is where it's happening.

It's an installation composed by 12 metallic birds, that pretend to be woodpeckers.

But in fact they interact with electromagnetic pollution. All the waves produced by mobile phones, wireless technology, GPS, radars...

They are able to be decoded, transformed into audio and sent to the woodpeckers that peck these very frequencies back into metallic objects standing in the urban environment.

What they actually do is called sonification: they grab the invisible and inaudible radiations and transform them into visible and audible drumming patterns. So it's a sonical intervention that wants to point the finger to this environmental issue which is now new to us: electromagnetic pollution.

The core of my work is to mix animal and audio technology to create these audio-visual interventions. The woodpeckers was chosen by a series of circumstances. First of all, I found a Russian antenna

deployed over the hemisphere during the Cold War, called Duga 3.

It was nicknamed woodpecker, because it was basically interrupting any kind of transmission. And also I try to always point the finger to an environmental issue and I find it easy to carry a deep message when you have a friendly figure delivering it. On the beginning I actually didn't know which locations were the good ones so the residences helped a lot: in Graz, in Prague and Berlin, in Marseille last year.

And together with the programmers, somehow, we developed a certain environment where the Woodpeckers would work. But still up to date, I'm still researching, I'm still trying to find out: could it work in a much more silent environment? Could it work inside out? Every place is different, every audience is different and this is also part of the process of learning.

How the project interacts with the space, with the people and with the country that you put it in?



Module 1

Creation in public space: Overview > Aesthetics > New trends

The transition from the term street art to art in public space reflects the coming together of several forms of artistic and social intervention. Art in public space is an evolving field that is constantly changing in line with what's happening in the world. Over the past ten years, a number of underlying trends are guiding these changes.

First in terms of format: although statistics are lacking, professionals are clearly seeing a decrease in the average size of performances. This is mainly due to a decrease in available financial resources. Fewer large-scale projects are produced, bringing to the forefront small- and medium-scale productions that directly play on the individual experience of the audience members.

This is accompanied by a growing number of productions that question the sensory perceptions that audience members have of the world. These approaches take form in immersive projects that model the audience experience. The implicit goal is to transform our relationship with society by changing our intimate perception of the world.

Another important aesthetic change is that **visual arts are increasingly present** in artistic creation: as a point of reference for artists from different disciplines and as a specific practice.

The relationship with the local area and social ties is also a major trend. A growing number of projects involve the artistic action in the working process, more than in the final product. The documentary and human approach is central. The idea is to incarnate concrete utopias: re-examine and reoccupy living spaces, and create a scale where human relationships, projects or mobilities seem controllable, on a human scale.

New technologies, little used until recently by public art artists, have been making their way into the artistic landscape. They give the audience new and potentially infinite sensory matter: paradoxically, technological interfaces are sometimes used to better immerse people in the world. They are also used to examine our day-to-day relationship with technology. New technologies are generally an open playing field for artistic creation. The only constraint is to make sure the technical challenge doesn't stifle the artistic content.



Module 1

Creation in public space : overview > Aesthetics > Interview Benjamin Vandewalle

I've always been interested in my work in perception, questioning the way how we perceive the world.

This is rooted actually in my experience and practice within Vipassana meditation: the way we perceive the world determines how we act in the world and think of the world. It's very interesting to not create a new reality in the theater, but to actually use the reality that we all share, namely public space, and to change and create a new world, a new piece, just by simply changing the way we look at that.

And what is so beautiful and interesting is that it is, usually, with very simple mechanisms.

And one is that you need to create a frame, a framework. The current work that I'm working on is called Studio Cité. One of those installations there is called Perimasks. Using the principle of periscope, so that your head gets transported to a higher level and all of a sudden you become a giant.

You get a new body. And then you're asked to actually walk freely into the public space and design your own film.

You're in reality, but you step outside, because you're protected, you select what you see. So it's this

position that is similar to meditation, where you just become... you take the position of an observer.

So it's again, placing the responsibility of your own way of perceiving and how the world appears to you, that you have that responsibility or that potential to change that, by just changing the way you position yourself toward that reality.

What is also very important, is the physical experience of the audience: believing that can have much greater impact on your being. I'm much more interested in how I can translate experiences that I've had as a dancer, to the body of the audience.

So that I create these machines, that then become choreographic devices: because I choreograph the body of the spectator through these machines.

I give them the frame, I give them a new body, and then it's up to them to make their own film, or their own choreography with that new body.





Creation in public space: Overview > Organisation > Professions

Creating and organising events in public space brings together key players who are not all part of the arts and culture sphere. It involves stakeholders working in production and artistic dissemination, alongside public authorities and road safety and security services.

When going on in this kind of projects, artists need to know the various parties. The professionals involved in artistic creation in public space can be broken down into 6 different categories: artists, local governments, producers, event organisers, public safety and security services, local organizations and volunteers.

Artists are at the very heart of art in public space. They can work alone or be organised into collectives or companies. They manage their own shows but can be included in the program of an event or initiate one. Indeed, some artists create festivals and creation centres open to other artists.

Local governments are usually the firsts in line when it comes to using public space. They are responsible for ensuring that events in their city run smoothly. They grant permits and establish the requirements for bringing art to public space. In some countries, they also define cultural policy at different levels. They adopt budgets and are in a position to grant financial and material resources to artistic teams. In some cases, the local authorities themselves organise events with the help of administrative or external services.

Producers are responsible for the artistic programming of their organization (like curators in museums and galleries). They can also produce shows or organise entire events. They can be artists, directors of cultural affairs for a local government or heads of a non-profit organization.

Event organisers help artists and producers with managing the delivery aspects of artistic productions. They find the resources needed to bring an artistic creation to life and organise the production resources required for preparing and managing an artistic event. Event organisers are legally responsible for the safety and security of property and people. So, they have the power to cancel an event if they feel that proper measures haven't been taken in this area.

Public safety and security services work with the organiser and assess the event beforehand in order to give an opinion to the town or city hall. They give recommendations, stipulate measures for managing crowd control and protecting the safety of people during the event. The extent of public safety and security services needed before and during an artistic event depends on the scale of the event. In some countries these services include the local authorities, for example the fire department or police.

Local organizations and volunteers sometimes participate in supporting events and sometimes lead them. They also liaise with local residents and may handle some services such as organising accommodation and hosting artists. They can also provide communication services for the show, inform the audience or manage ticketing. Sometimes they are even part of the performance, as performers themselves.



Module 1

Creation in public space: Overview > Organisation > Programming networks

For the most part, public space performances are presented at festivals:

Some are dedicated to this type of art. They give companies opportunities to show their work to other professionals. Examples of this include the international Eclat festival in Aurillac, France, and FiraTarrega in Catalonia.

These events offer a professional showcase and are vital to the economic organisation of the sector. They host companies invited as part of the program's official line-up. However, companies can also perform unofficially at their own expense: hoping to sell their show later on or passing a hat around to their audience, to try to make money from their performance. These festivals often attract audiences of experienced regulars.

To broaden their reach to other audiences that are not used to attending cultural events, producers also try to programme shows **outside festivals**. They may work with artists to develop specific projects that take place over an entire year in a designated site like a village, a neighbourhood or a city, for a season or several events.

An example of this is the project *the year of thirteen moons* (*L'année des treize lunes*). It was curated by French organisers Lieux publics and Karwan from 2002 to 2003. Over this period, at each full Moon, they programmed a performance in a different town of the Bouches du Rhône region, in southern France. The whole series of performances was curated as a single, one year-long event.

To create and produce their shows, artists also need residency periods. These phases of work and rehearsal allow them to explore their artistic intentions in depth before their works are completed and presented on the market. They provide opportunities to meet the local population and field test their work at full-scale so they can fine-tune the way they handle the spaces and experience the audience reaction.





Creation in public space: Overview > Organisation > Sector's actors

There are currently no observers that track street art internationally. Global and scientific data is therefore non-existent. However, in a given place, several factors influence the presence of artistic productions in public space.

Politics, with varying levels of acceptance of public criticism of government depending on the country and national cultural policies.

The presence of active professional and specialised networks, including production houses, centres for artistic creation and dissemination and resource and training centres. These play a major role in organising the professional sector.

France is a very interesting example. The street arts field has gradually become more structured over the years. It has developed an extensive professional network: **street artists** were the sector's first players.

In the 1980s, they organised themselves into professional bodies to represent and support their work. Their ability to organize themselves is what gave them a voice with public leaders and allowed funding schemes to develop.

One of the outcomes of their common work is the national street arts federation that was founded in 1997. It represents the interests of artists and the entire sector. It fosters dialogue with public authorities such as the Ministry of Culture and regional governments.

French artists can also count with thirteen national centres for street and arts in public space. These centres are often workplaces for artists located in former abandoned buildings or industrial wastelands. They were certified by the French Ministry of Culture in 2005 and are mainly publicly funded. Their mission is to support, finance and bring to the public artistic creation in public space. Organiser

In addition to the national federation and thirteen national centres, an official organization works on documenting the sector. Called ARTCENA, this resource centre collects data, footages and press reviews on circus and artistic creation in public space on a national scale.

France also has a specialized training for street artists: the FAI-AR. Established in 2003, it is the only school in the world devoted to training students who want to write, design and produce artistic projects in public spaces.

All these organizations combine to strengthen the sector. They stimulate collaborations, peer-learning and ease the access to information. They also play a role in the international recognition of French artists.

This example based on France is quite specific. Street arts and arts in public space have developed differently from one country to another. Following this lesson, you'll find a series of interviews focusing on other countries. But beyond the national scale, it's also interesting to look at the international exchanges between artists, producers, and organisers of the sector.



Module 1

Creation in public space : overview > Organisation > Interview Werner Schrempf

We started in 1998 and in that time, the aesthetics of street art were totally different.

In the beginning, we were interested into bring theater and street scene to the city of Graz, in outdoor places. So we did all these big shows. Nowadays everything has changed, also with our involvement in the international scene.

We are much more interested in community work, in the work of artists who go towards the people.

So, it's more connected to the world — what we do now — and we also are very much interested in creating things in our city, but not only for our city — very local, very related to what the people and our audience at the place is interested in — but to combine it and to connect it to other places in the world.

There is a big change since we started in the aesthetics, and also in the needs of what artists find in our world. Now we can find a lot of artists who are interested in the participation of people in the art work.

I think, in the next years, the aesthetic tendencies in the art we are involved in, will be more and more also questioning the way of how new media and communications is influencing our lives, and the questioning of democracy, and also how we live together in a globalized world.

What is also quite important is the questions of how we treat the nature, and how we'll live in this world in the future.



Module 1

Creation in public space: overview > Organisation > Interview Florent Mehmeti

In Kosovo art in public space as such was very vaguely developed or almost none. You have some interventions by artists, mainly in the formats of murals an graffitis, but that was not very much developed further than that.

There are many reasons why: one of them is that the public space is usually perceived as a place that you don't belong to.

It's mostly perceived as an area for protest, as an area for expressing insatisfaction. We are very often trying, through artistic means, to kind of also continuing this search where a lot of artists have works that are more provocative, that want to provoke social change. Then, what I try to do as a programmation, also with international artists and with collaborations that we often have between Kosovo artists and international artists, is to create traces in the city, to create marks that speak about this common space.

Working in public space...there are not many actors that are involved really, and doing work constantly.

You'll have some artists that sometimes are intrigued to do an intervention.

Different actors having really spontaneous, or let's say another project in a row, saw that public space was a good playground for their next intervention, but other than that, it's us with HAPU that we really keep the spirit alive and keep the ongoing programmation of doing works dedicated specifically for public space.

Participatory artwork is very often taking much more place in our programmation: by having audience participating, we really want to give this new connotation of the public spaces as a common space, as a place for meeting.

I think the right approach is to do a good quality participatory artworks, that are perceived as proper and where the audience feels very confortable on interacting with the artist.



Module 1

Creation in public space: overview > Organisation > Interview Hugo Bergs

Art in public space in our country is structured around festivals. Besides the festivals, there are art organisations like companies, who can also work in public space, supported by the government.

The sector in our country, originates actually from what we saw happening in France in the 70s, where big companies emerged, left the theaters and started working in the street.

It was a little bit copy-paste from the France sector but still we found our own signature in this. We had also a tendency of the fancy fairs, the kermesses thing. It's a little bit linked to that, for instance the festival in Namur has still that focus. So there was a kind of tradition of nomadic artists, travelling around. So there was already something in public space happening but to become a real artistic sector is more recent, from the late 80s, beginning of the 90s.

In the beginning, in the program it was nearly all French companies: French, Spanish companies like Royal de Luxe, Générik Vapeur, or Xarxa from Spain, but over the last decades let's say, more and more

Dutch artists and Flemish artists wanted to work in public space, but not in the same way: not in such large scale.

Often it became small performances. We saw a lot of dance happening in the street, we saw site-specific work emerge and another thing we can see over the last five years perhaps, is that more and more circus artists are also coming in the street. On the other part, we have very serious theatre companies who bring site-specific works really linked to the community or linked to things that happened in a certain context and they can go really profound.

We also see a lot of work that is not so easy to determine anymore, which mix media, transcend on their discipline. So they become real contemporary works of art with all kinds of different artistic proposals.





Creation in public space: Overview > Organisation > International networks

In arts in general, an innovative or new production from elsewhere can cause a shift in artistic practices. We already gave you an example of this in lesson 1. You learnt that US avant-garde artists who crossed the Atlantic played a role in the emergence of European streets arts.

Therefore, it's easy to understand that, in general, international circulation of artistic projects drives aesthetic changes. Relying on this principle, some professionals develop international collaborations, encouraging the mobility of artists and productions. Individuals travel increasingly, to discover new practices and projects.

At the same time, professional networks are helping circulation of people and artistic works as well as sharing skills. Apart from the artistic content of exchanges, professionals learn a lot by discovering other contexts and ways of working.

At a European level, two networks in particular work to support art in public space.

IN SITU and Circostrada were both created in 2003. They are both largely financed by the European Commission which provides funding for specific 3 to 5-year projects.

The IN SITU network includes 24 European and international organizations and festivals. It discovers, supports and disseminates artistic creations in public space across Europe. Its activities focus on providing tools to support artistic creation and dissemination on workshops, art laboratories, European and international residencies. Its members also finance and mentor a series of shared artistic productions.

Circostrada is more involved in developing and organising circus and street arts in Europe and beyond. The network has over one hundred members in 30 different countries. It works to provide them with development tools such as resources, observation and research as well as professional exchanges and dialogue.

These networks initially focused their activities within the European Union but have now expanded their work to other countries. In South Korea, for instance, an emerging street arts sector is developing in close cooperation with a number of professionals in Europe, including the FAI-AR.

In Arab countries, Circostrada organises annual meetings to help the local actors meet and share ideas. Indeed, in this area, the status of public spaces was profoundly changed by the revolutions of 2011: overnight, public space became a place where an entire generation of people and artists could express their political and social desires.

In this lesson we've focused mainly on the European scene, for a simple reason: Europe is probably where arts in public space are most developed. However, it is not the only place where you can find them. In fact, nowadays, in various countries, local scenes are emerging. They may be inspired by the European experience, blended in their local customs and culture.

This is the case of *Bienvenue chez nous* festival, which means "Welcome to our place", organised in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso since 2009. Rooted locally, it has always also worked closely with the French national centre for Arts in Public Space Atelier 231.



Module 1

Creation in public space: overview > Organisation > Interview Jay Wahl

The Kimmel Center is a large performing arts space in Philadelphia, in Pennsylvania.

And every two years we produce a festival called the Philadelphia International Festival of the Arts where we take over the city with all sorts of different kinds of work. Philadelphia is a capital of outdoor art.

We are the actual mural capital of the world, and what I've been thinking about doing more and more is: how do performing artists, sculptural artists, movement, dialogue...

How do these things also play into public space? Our whole city thinks of public space differently.

If I say public space, I assume I just mean the street, but like... this Plaza we're sitting here in Marseille, we don't really have spaces like this.

The city doesn't devote a lot of space to public gatherings, right? It's a very special thing and most of the large public gathering space happens to be either the street or private and so there's always a dialogue between public and private space.

We're starting from the beginning in America, but the obstacles are really about a sort of infrastructure: there aren't places where artists can learn and practice and develop this craft; there aren't places where artists can try things in the public space and fail and then get invited to do it again.

Their experience is limited and they immediately think about the work they do not in public space, and how they might put that in public space. And so it takes some time for it to sort of marinate and think differently about what space can do.

And so when I invite artists from other cities, other places in the world, like IN SITU artists. I always invite them to work with American artists, and I start including American artists in the process.

And so I've been, over the nine years that I've been there, thinking more and more deeply about what is our responsibility as a performing arts center to serve our city and where do we do that?

I've been very fortunate over the nine years to develop relationships with the city government, with the parks, with the streets department, with sanitation... and I thought about how do you encourage them to work together?

So whether I've invited artists to play in the fountain, or whether I've invited artists to, you know, use fire sculptures, I really thought deeply about: who does that affect ? And in this way, I'm slowly building an institutional strategy.

The arts bring us together, the arts create civic dialogue, and I think what audiences have been learning in public space is the ability for us all to share something.

I've been learning much more on my work on the positiveness of public space: how we all share it - hopefully as equally as possible - or thinking about how you move through it, creating work that I call as an "interruptive gift" you know you're on your way to the dentist and there's a performance and so you stop.



Module 1

Creation in public space: overview > Organisation > Interview Claudine Dussolier

It was in the early 2000s, and maybe after 2005, that groups of artists from Egypt, Jordan, Lebanon, Palestine and Tunisia, began talking about the role of art in society, and thinking about the fact that although they could sometimes show their works in theatres or galleries, they virtually never reached their neighbours, the local population, people from their own communities.

From there, a number of initiatives came about, including the first Dream City in 2007 and the first street theatre festivals in Alexandria and Cairo, which received considerable support from institutes such as the Goethe Institut and the Institut Français.

Then, with what has become known as the Arab Spring, the popular uprisings that took place in late 2010 and early 2011 blew the concept of public space wide open and public space became a place where people, not just artists, go to express themselves, demonstrate and come together.

In Egypt and Tunisia, and to a lesser extent in Morocco, this led to a number of initiatives that flourished for two years, with art forms that were brought to public space, to the streets, the metro, as well as to villages, and not just the capital cities. So when we talk about art in public space in these countries, we're talking about art forms that can be highly sophisticated and innovative, like the Nassim el Raqs Festival in Alexandria, which is both an experimental laboratory that invites artists to work in public space, and an initiative that covers artistic projects that tour through villages, or set up permanently in them.

Théatre Nomad, which is based between Casablanca and Salé and tours through different villages, also comes to mind. Another example is the team of Awaln'art in Marrakesh. It now works out of a place called La Conserverie and really uses a laboratory

approach to urban art and the challenges of the cities of tomorrow.

Then, things gradually became more difficult in terms of political rights. Restrictions also curbed a number of public freedoms and there came a realisation that to conduct artistic development work, it would take more time.

The many teams that sprung up after these movements focused on development work and creating tools. Two examples of organisations that were established after 2010 are Mahatat, meaning "station", in Cairo, which was the first of its kind in the Arab world with the aim of becoming a resource centre for anyone working in public space and organising tours and events with street and circus artists.

The other is Racines, based in Casablanca, Morocco, which explores the implementation of public cultural policy, and has evaluated culture in all artistic and cultural fields.

It also defends public freedoms in public space. From an artistic standpoint, the various teams are becoming more developed because the cultural sector, and not just art in public space, is becoming more professionalised in each country. Alongside this, the establishment of networks between Arab countries themselves is also making a contribution. There are organisations that run festivals and invite artists from all Arab states to come, such as, Dream City in Tunis.

However there is also an effort to foster artistic and professional dialogue with partners in Europe and Africa, and even Latin America and Asia.