



## Module 4 The place of audiences

### SUMMARY

#### Introduction

#### Chapter 1: Audiences at the heart of artistic creation

- Lesson 1: Capturing audience attention
- Lesson 2: Free entry
- Lesson 3: The concept of experience
- Lesson 4: Participation
- Lesson 5: Need for outreach

#### Chapter 2: Performance formats

- Lesson 1: Conventional staging configurations
- Lesson 2: Subverting the rules
- Lesson 3: Controlling audience numbers
- Lesson 4: Ways of communicating with the audience
- Lesson 5: The example of walking creations

#### Chapter 3: From cultural actions to contextual projects

- Lesson 1: Cultural action projects
- Lesson 2: Audience participation
- Lesson 3: Different types of project
- Lesson 4: Drawing inspiration from territory
- Lesson 5: Working in the long term



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Introduction

Hi everyone, we have now reached our 4<sup>th</sup> and final week of this MOOC.

In the previous lessons, you have looked at the issues around dramaturgy and space. Now we're going to explore another fundamental theme: the relationship with the audience. This is a key issue in creation in public space, space that belongs to the public or audience.

It is a constant concern for artists and programmers. What place should be given to the audience? How do we capture their attention? How do we involve them? How do we manage or guide their movements? How can we create despite their sometimes-unpredictable behavior? We will use various examples to answer these questions.

As usual, this theme will be broken down into three lessons.

We will start by tackling 5 issues that structure the relationship with audiences in public art and influence the reception of artistic works: ways of capturing an audience's attention, free entry, the concept of experience and the challenges of participation and artistic outreach...

The second lesson explores concrete processes for staging the audience's place in both stationary or walking performances. We will see how different configurations can shape the audience experience. The third lesson discusses the notions of cultural action and local arts projects, which are a different way of meeting audiences, for both artists and spectators.

So, ladies and gentlemen, please take your seats... And have a great week!



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Audiences at the heart of artistic creation > Capturing audience attention

Public art leaves incredible space for invention, with endless possibilities. But it must take into account the constraints and contexts that influence the work. Choosing to use public space, with its history or sometimes very specific uses, is about willingly surprising the audience, who is not always forewarned.

This involves thinking through and anticipating the place of audiences in the performance. Anything is possible and artists have invented countless ways of creating with audiences.

The issue of how to communicate with audiences always comes up in very different ways: a lone audience member guided through the city with a mobile phone cannot be managed in the same way as a group of around twenty people invited to sleep in the forest...

Whatever the format, the artist's challenge is to succeed in capturing and maintaining the audience's attention for as long as possible. Bringing a performance into an unconventional environment requires artists to expect the unexpected.

In a performance hall, the behaviour of audiences is established and generally doesn't change. But this clearly varies more in the public space: some audiences are very undisciplined, others get involved more easily; those used to walking theatre know what attitude to adopt, but others require more guidance.

During a performance, artists will need to manage and adapt to disturbances or unexpected reactions without interfering with the performance. Artists in public space are constantly on the alert.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Audiences at the heart of artistic creation > Free entry

With art in public space, professionals tend to use the term audiences in the plural. Use of the plural demonstrates a goal which is almost central to the entire sector: to reach a large and varied audience. The tradition of free entry illustrates this constant concern. Most performances or installations in public space are totally open and free of charge.

For artists, programmers, festival directors and elected officials, this free access to artistic production is a political act in itself. It is a way of opening up these practices to people who do not traditionally gravitate towards art: either for economic reasons or because they do not have the socio-cultural and symbolic keys they need to engage with the theatre.

Audience surveys at street art festivals show that this is an effective strategy. They have more varied audiences than other forms of live performance. Dance and jazz audiences often have fairly similar socio-professional or age profiles.

Street festivals succeed in bringing together people from varied social, economic and cultural backgrounds. This is even more true when artists or programmers choose to locate their performances in working class neighbourhoods.



## Module 4

The place of audiences > Audiences at the heart of artistic creation

> The concept of experience

The term “experience” is often used in art in public space. The freedom of public space makes it possible to come up with original situations that would be almost impossible to reproduce in theatres or halls.

Similarly, audience members perceive the works in line with their sensitivity or background: a single situation can therefore be experienced very differently and uniquely by two different members of the audience. This concept of experience is very widespread in Western societies.

The term **experiential paradigm** shows to what extent the concept has entered into our everyday language.

Brands now sell their products by promoting experiences: drive this car and you will experience the joy and freedom of wide-open spaces; use this smartphone to unleash your inner creativity.

Recent mobile technology development has reinforced this paradigm with an increasing focus on the user experience. Artists are part of the world around them and are certainly influenced by this omnipresent focus on experience, as are audiences, who manifest an interest in sensory situations that draw them into the action.

This results in artistic creations that engage audiences' bodies and all of their senses. For example, they are blindfolded, asked to be silent or to block their ears. This immerses them in a specific environment or allows them to take part in a collaborative experience.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Audiences at the heart of artistic creation > Participation

The question of audience participation is raised whenever artists breach the barrier between the performance space and audience space. This is obviously not mandatory, and many shows expect nothing from the audience apart from their presence alongside the artists.

The level of participation therefore varies considerably from one performance to another. For example, in walking performances, the entire performance depends on the audience moving along and following the action. At the far end of the scale, some artists create shows entirely based on audience participation.

This is the case for Catalan author and director Roger Bernat. In *Domini Public*, audience members with headphones are asked questions on their personal tastes, and their marital and employment status. They are grouped with people who give similar answers. The answers given by others are revealed, and complicity develops between audience members throughout the performance.

Bernat uses this to question social diversity, free will and democracy. The series of questions and development of situations make the audience ask questions and can generate uneasiness: Do I have to do what I'm told? Do I have to because it's a show? Can I disobey? The idea of obeying instructions is at the heart of this performance. It could not exist without audience participation - as there are no other actors on the stage. Some audience members refuse to join in - which is also a way of participating.

This type of situation clearly raises a number of ethical questions: the audience must not be used, manipulated or exposed without having given consent. What might seem trivial to the artist (e.g. being physically touched) might not be from the spectator's perspective.

Never forget that audience members are not necessarily accomplices and that they are clearly entitled to exercise their own free will. It is the artist's role to develop bonds and form a proper and appropriate relationship with the audience. This is achieved through scenography, staging and the way in which the audience is addressed.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Audiences at the heart of artistic creation > Interview Vera Maeder

I'm running hello!earth together with Jacob Langaa-Sennek. We work in a transdisciplinary way so we collaborate with different artists like designers, architects, could also be philosophers or biologists, depending on the project.

What we do is really to create situations where, I think, as artists, we very much take the role of facilitators of the situation. So there is nobody that actually is performing in the traditional sense, but it's more about how we give instructions, and how we create a framework for things to happen.

In our works, we really want to engage people to take a lot of responsibility and be very present in how they meet each other, or how they meet the city, like triggered by kind of the frameworks that we set up for it. So basically, the artwork only exists because of the participants, and because of their experience.

You could also say that their experience is the artwork, more than anything else. In our works, we also very much talk about an extra daily sensitivity. We try to create situations where participants are in a kind of very present state themselves.

And coming from a background of dance, we also use a lot of means, of kind of somatic knowledge, making the body very important and very present.

And sometimes, already this presencing is the co-creation. Meaning, if we now look at each other and we become really aware of that, a lot of things already start to happen just from that.

In the different works, we sometimes then have an action that, for example, provokes dialogue amongst the participants, or amongst the participants and players in the city. And sometimes we also have things where people actually build something together.

Our most recent project is called THE NIGHT, envisioning a post-capitalist society while we sleep. And in this work, people arrive at 8 o'clock at a space, and the NIGHT ends at around 10 o'clock.

So it's like 14 hours that we spend time together. The action is actually just that we very consciously prepare for this moment of going to sleep, and prepare for this moment where we collectively let go, that we actually do every night. We lose our awareness in that moment, and we drive into the unknown.

So we take this, both as a concrete action and the point of departure, but also as a metaphor for visioning a post capitalist society, like going to somewhere that we do not know yet.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Audiences at the heart of artistic creation

#### > The need for outreach

Simply presenting art in public space is no magic formula for reaching the residents of a community.

This is the purpose of “**outreach programmes**”, which include preparation and awareness-raising before a project. This is a crucial step, as is events communication.

You might think that performing in public space naturally forges a direct contact with the community. But actually, this is not straightforward. Works are received relatively naturally in a performance hall, but this has to be developed for art in public space.

Outreach involves laying the groundwork for a performance. It creates the conditions for an encounter between a work and audiences. Outreach is provided by various people: the technical teams who install the work, dedicated “public relations officers”, and also often the artists themselves. Particularly with participatory formats, intense preparation is often required before the performance.

This concept of outreach also covers communication actions used to advertise and present the performance. This aspect is often managed by the organisers. They publish a programme, which is given to shopkeepers and individuals, and shared on social media. They inform their local partners, such as schools and social centres. This work is vital and has a major impact on the number and type of people who will attend the performance.

Despite preconceptions, performances in public space do not always target everyone. Not all themes or formats are suitable for families. A project can target children, adults, the elderly, or even clearly defined parts of the population.

Organisers have to select the best ways of informing the public of the type of performance in their communication. They do this in collaboration with the artistic team.

Basic information to communicate includes the date, time and place, whether or not there are a limited number of tickets, whether you need to book and whether it is a walking or stationary performance.

Outreach is often key before an event. If necessary, it can be used to recruit volunteers or participants. It is important to explain the context and reasons for their participation in order to ensure full engagement. Outreach is also vital for performances that might disrupt daily life or enter into people's homes.

For example, in Pierre Sauvageot's performance, *Grand Ensemble*, a symphonic orchestra sets up on the balconies of an entire apartment block and plays a symphony to the audience below. This performance requires significant outreach: the symphony is designed as a dialogue between the orchestra and apartment block. The score includes sounds from the building, such as voices, doors slamming, telephones ringing and stories from residents. The first step in outreach is therefore to collect these sounds.

The score is then played from around forty balconies in the same apartment building. Teams therefore need to meet with all residents to convince them to let the musicians use their private balcony for rehearsals and the performance. The performance could not take place without these two vital outreach steps. This is also one of the strengths of the performance, which brings together most residents of an apartment building on the same day.

British artist Seth Honnor's project *PIG* illustrates the roles of communication and outreach. The project is simple. A large transparent piggy bank is placed in a busy public space. Inside is a sign that reads: “This is a community fund. You can contribute to it if you like. When you've agreed how to spend it you can open me and spend it”.





The artist insists on the importance of surprise: this pig is not intended as an artistic object for passers-by, but as a means of creating space for free will in public space. It is there to challenge and awaken the imaginary community of passers-by.

Similarly, the artist refuses any outreach to organise discussion around how the money is used. He claims that this would directly nullify the audience empowerment enabled through the work.

The programmer is therefore asked to limit project communication as far as possible so that the performance can stand alone.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Audiences at the heart of artistic creation > Interview Katrien Verwilt

Grand Ensemble is a dialogue between a building and an orchestra. How does the dialogue take place? It's easy, you take an orchestra and place the musicians all over the balconies and people come to listen to the building. They listen to the building through the song, and the song is filled with all the sounds of the building. They hear the names of people, the stories they tell each other, telephones ringing.

We put on Grand Ensemble in June 2018. I feel that it is a concept that involves a lot of production. First you have to find an orchestra willing to put its musicians on the balconies of a building.

Then you need to find the right building. In Copenhagen, we ended up finding a neighbourhood that was a bit outside the city but that had a really diverse population. That was an important aspect of the project, even though we could have found buildings that would have been better from a staging perspective.

We hired a girl who spent three or four months doing nothing but knocking on people's doors to ask them if a musician could play on their balconies on 15 June. She also had to conduct a little interview with each of the residents because the composition had to be adapted to Copenhagen and that specific building. The people were extremely touched to be there, sitting in the sun, listening to the symphony.

The residents were really proud to welcome a classical musician into their home. The orchestra told us afterwards that they would love to participate in this type of project again. The warmth and poetry of this project are what make it so special.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Audiences at the heart of artistic creation > Interview Seth Honor

Pig is a large transparent pig. It's about 2.2 meters long and about 1.7 meters high and it sits on a plinth and it goes in public space. And inside it is an LED sign, scrolling LED sign about this big, and it says, "This is a community fund. You can contribute to it if you like, and when you've agreed how to spend it, you can open me, and spend it."

So people come up to it, and they read it, and some of them don't read it, some of them walk by, but some people read it, maybe they put some money in, or maybe they go away and they think about it, and some people come up to it and open it, and take money out.

It's so minimal in what it gives. Every single aspect of it is amplified. So every word we choose for the sign, and which way up the bolts are, how many bolts there are for people to undo, what the pig looks like, how the money sits in its belly...

Everything about it has to be absolutely precise and exact and has to be thought through before we put it in public space, because it has to be able to stand on its own.

So that the artwork, dramaturgically, must not break. Because we put this authorship, Pig is an authorship around the money, people respond to it differently. It's like a small area of private space, in a public space. What we try to do is put it in places where it's like an eddy, it might be just a place where you can see from

the main flow, and then you get pulled in to this place where you can be for a little bit longer.

Everybody wants to capture it, and they can't capture the value, they can't capture the stories because they are elsewhere. So everyone wants to pin it down, to be something that they can contain. Everyone wants to know what the stories are, or who's opened it and who were they and what did they spend it on. And people are desperate to find the answers to those things, but it's an insatiable desire to try and articulate the value for people.

With this work, I wanted to ask about our relationship to money, but also about our relationship to collective resource. So, for me, there's a question about...if there's 12 and a half billion people on this planet, how on earth do we come to an agreement about that resource and about how we use it?

So, for me, it's asking a really big question, but in a micro way, of people who are able to interact with it.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Performance formats

#### > The role of audiences in conventional configurations

Performance formats aren't the only way of communicating with audiences. However, most artistic projects take the form of a performance: so to say, artists present a work to the audience, in a fixed time and place. We've already covered the range of different staging configurations. We've gone through walking or stationary performances, through front-facing or other staging.

But the configuration isn't all there is to it. It is also interesting to **explore the different ways artists relate to the audience in performances**. Indeed, it's up to the artists to guide the audience. They have to show them where they need to be, how to get there and how to take their place in the artistic creation. They have to put themselves in the audience's shoes to plan ahead and work with their perceptions of the environment.

The choice of staging directly impacts the audience's behaviour and how they receive the performance. Each kind of staging configuration has its own way of capturing the audience's attention.

Typically, the audience gathers at the venue. A stage, a line on the ground, or the artists themselves help the audience understand the setting and find the best spot to watch from. Interestingly, even in unconventional venues, the audience tends to conduct itself the same way as in a theatre: they follow **the fourth wall rule**, with artists on one side, and the audience on the other. It's therefore easy for the artist to arrange the audience in a front-facing, semi-circle or circular position.

For example: the mentalist show *L'Homme Cornu* - The Horned Man in English - by Flemish artist Kurt Demey, is performed in a front-facing configuration. It can be adapted to different venues, without impacting the performance itself. It's insinuated from the start that the audience is going to sit to watch the show. And even when the artist invites audience members to come and join him during the show, the other members of the audience follow the rule and remain seated.

They immediately understand that you can only cross the invisible line separating the audience from the artist if you are invited to do so. Only the artist himself initiates and controls how this invisible line is crossed, by letting specific audience members cross it for a restricted period of time.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Performance formats > Subverting the rules

Any rule can be broken, played with and subverted – either by artists or by audiences. Here are a couple of examples:

In 2006, with *Beaucoup de bruit pour rien*, the artists of French company 26,000 couverts invited a theatre audience to watch a performance of the Shakespeare play Much Ado About Nothing. But a technical problem holds up the beginning of the performance...which then never actually takes place!

The audience expects a regular play but ends up actually trapped in a queue that is the actual location of the production. This break from the conventional rules is so radical that some audience members don't understand what's going on and leave the show, frustrated that they haven't been able to watch the play! For most of the audience, though, the situation becomes comical, and gets a lot of laughs.

In 1998, with *Ville invisible* (Invisible City), the Théâtre de l'Arpenteur also subverted audience expectations. The show was advertised as a performance by the theatre, but the organisers announce at the entrance that due to a technical problem, the show will actually be held in another theatre. Fake taxis, driven by actors-turned-drivers, turn up to pick up the audience members.

They start a conversation, take different routes and tell stories about the city as it settles in for the night, chatting away about what's going on beyond the closed curtains.

Meanwhile, the switchboard of the imaginary taxi company keeps putting out alerts: Calling 85, 32 and 28, please come back to headquarters immediately. The taxis drop off their passengers, promise to return, and disappear. The passengers' conversations make up the rest of this theatre-less and actor-less play in a story where you are the hero.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Performance formats > Controlling audience numbers

By now, you've probably understood the life-size role-playing game that takes place between artists and the audience. On one hand, artists try to define where the audience can be, and what they should see from there. On another hand, each audience member tries to find the best possible spot to watch the show. And sometimes, ruins the artist's plans.

But there are some simple ways of controlling an audience. One of these is to define a maximal number of public members.

In project "*L'Homme Cornu*", the horned man in English, which we've already talked about in a previous lesson, the setting is thought for a small audience, of no more than a few hundred. This creates physical proximity and intimacy. The actor is also equipped with a microphone, which lets him vary the intensity of his voice, even whispering sometimes. This would be impossible in a public space without amplification sound system. The presence of a musician also participates in creating a very special atmosphere: in this case, the actor doesn't need a lot more of staging effects to convey his world and immerse the audience in it.

This example shows that **even an apparently simple front-facing configuration is based on audience relationship choices**: chosen audience numbers, controlling the performance areas and the movement of artists and audience between them, and a specific approach to communicating with the audience. All these choices combine to fully captivate the audience's attention. And that's a key for mental magic!

More generally, limiting audience numbers is a constant headache for artists and organisers. A performance meant for 300 people will suffer if 500 for instance show up, as people won't be able to see as well. How can we control that?

Each case is different, but there is always a balance to find between staging tricks, and how much the event is promoted. When shows are created for a very small audience, the right balance is more difficult to find. If you advertise on the performance too much, too many people will attend, and the project will be performed in bad conditions.

If you offer a free ticket, often people won't show up. This can in turn, sometimes, affect the performance as well. Sometimes, for small numbers, a ticket price may be introduced to encourage the audience members to attend. In addition, creating a show for a small audience can generate gaps between artists' desire and producers' constraints. Indeed for producers, production costs are too high with respect to a small public. This can be another reason why to introduce paid tickets.

As a conclusion, you will remember that the audience number is a sensitive issue that needs a lot of planning.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Performance formats > Communication with the audience

In public space physical proximity and the use of digital or mobile technologies make it possible to give audiences powerful physical and psychological experiences. They can be thought-provoking, and create shock, wonder, surprise, or entertainment. It's important to determine the emotions that the audience is likely to feel.

We'll call these emotions **the audience experience**. The challenge for the artist is to ensure they change according to his overall work.

We can say there is a general dramaturgy and a specific dramaturgy associated with communicating with the audience. These two approaches need to be thought through together. It can be useful to think of the audience experience as **taking the audience member from point A to point B**. Points A and B are not necessarily referring to 2 different positions in space, or to the beginning and the end of a narration. They can also play out on a symbolic level.

Some artistic creations are built essentially on the experience they give to their audience. Most of the time, in some way, the intimate, sometimes organic experience aims to give the audience a new look on certain social themes.

This is the case with project *Walking: Holding* by British artist Rosana Cade. She invites participants to take a walk, holding hands with a local resident who's been given instructions beforehand. The residents all belong to different ethnic, social or sexual orientation communities. Rosana Cade uses this performance to question our ability to accept difference by living it directly. The audience members suddenly experience for themselves the gazes that these local residents usually get.

Cade offers a simple but powerful **relational experiment**. Walking hand in hand with a stranger in public is full of meaning: by staging apparent intimacy, she explores the barrier between what is public and private, for all the world to see. There is a clear political dimension to *Walking: Holding*. Rosana Cade's intention is to provoke reflection in the participant, in the people who allow their identity to be used as a platform, and in passers-by who become unwitting, but central participants.

It should be noted that this kind of artistic creation that targets a single participant at a time has developed significantly since the early 2000s. Some of these productions use digital and mobile technologies (including headphones, radio, smartphones, etc). They somewhat share the same kind of aesthetic, because they take place in urban fabric without affecting it, becoming immersed, sometimes almost invisible, in the flow of the city.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > Performance formats > The example of walking creations

**Walking creations** are used to explore and discover or rediscover a location and offer a shared journey for both artists and audiences. But despite appearances, this is a complex format in terms of the relationship with the audience.

How can you be sure that your audience will go to the right spot? Will they follow along like in a parade? How do you help them understand that they can mix with the artists? Or, how do you keep them at a distance for aesthetic or safety reasons?

During the writing and site-visit, the position of the audience needs to be a major focus. The same questions apply to each stage of the walking creation: **where will the audience be positioned? How can we ensure that they will be able to see?**

The artist needs to combine two points of view: the ideal perspective they have of their work, and the idealised perspective that they have of the audience and the position they are going to take. In walking creations, audiences can also be quite undisciplined, they walk too slowly or too fast...and there's a risk of them getting lost and falling out of the performance or getting ahead of the actors. The artist needs to be in the habit of looking for the right place.

This idealised viewpoint is what we call the "œil du Prince", which means prince's eye. In Italian-style theatres, it was the name given to the front-row middle seat on the first balcony, that gave the best view of the stage.

But **in public space, there is no prince's eye**. The audience can see the performance from various points of view, and it is up to the artist to ensure that things make sense from every perspective. They need to **pay attention to both audience and unwitting onlookers**: for example, residents watching from their windows or passers-by who walk through the performance.

In *Long Ma*, one of the many walking creations of French company La Machine, a giant dragon is the focus of attention. However, the audience doesn't necessarily focus on it the whole time. They can look at their surroundings, try to get closer or remain at a distance. In this case, the challenge for the artist is to maintain enough theatrical intensity and powerful imagery to keep the audience captivated and following the walk.

One of the efficient techniques for this is to place actors in the middle of the audience. In particular at the end of the procession where the crowd is expected to start losing their focus on the play. These actors refocus attention and play a crucial role in **reconnecting the audience** with the artistic creation.





## Module 4

### The place of audiences > From cultural actions to contextual projects > Cultural action projects

Shows are the most visible artistic production in public space. But art is not limited to performance formats. The way artists can work closely with communities across a broad variety of territories makes them leading candidates for implementing cultural action projects. Cultural action includes a huge variety of projects and is difficult to define in simple terms. In its broadest sense, it includes all projects aimed at raising public awareness of an artistic practice or show attendance.

Whether working with secondary school children, the elderly, or a rural village community, it is vital to consider how to communicate with the target audience in this type of project: knowing how to get audiences on board is a real art form.

Cultural action mostly involves social and cultural mechanisms and does not involve any artistic goals. There are a huge number of mechanisms in France. But when used by artists, they can take on an additional dimension with real artistic scope.

In street arts, the relationship with the audience is so important that projects have long included forms of cultural action, without identifying them as such. The development of more structured cultural action specifically for art in public space is more recent. Over the past fifteen years, many companies and centres for artistic creation have developed cultural action mechanisms.

They generally use traditional mechanisms, such as meetings with artists in residence, artist residencies in schools and practical arts workshops. Even when working in traditional formats, artists and public space operators bring a unique approach to their projects and aesthetics.

For example, school residencies can work in outdoor spaces, practical arts workshops can be held outside dedicated venues, and residency outputs can take place in the street where shows are performed. Once again, it is a question of playing with, adapting and distorting constraints and frameworks.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > From cultural actions to contextual projects > Audience participation

Cultural action mechanisms mainly seek to create new ways of meeting with local residents. In some cases, they involve them in artistic creation. Managing participation is therefore an important key to a successful project.

But it is a challenge that can be complex: target audiences are often isolated from culture and the arts. They therefore need to be met with on other ground. Artists need to understand the context in which they are working and come up with a project that makes sense to all participants. This is the only way to turn them into accomplices and real creative partners.

The *Fleeting City (villes éphémères)* project by French visual artist Olivier Grossetête is a successful example of this. It asks residents to help recreate monumental buildings, using just cardboard and tape. In each city, the construction project is selected in line with local history and culture, and can include houses, towers, bridges, etc. The plans are drawn up by the artist, in accordance with the organisers.

The power of the project is the **collective** nature of the action. Residents follow precise instructions to help make the boxes. Lots of people can take part thanks to the fun and simple work required. It also brings together communities that would not usually interact. The assembly and collective destruction are especially moving rituals. People have to work together to lift the heavy structures under construction.

The project is also of symbolic importance: for once it is residents who build their city. In some ways, this project gives them an active role in the architecture of their living spaces. Choosing to showcase the ordinary and everyday is an artistic, poetical and also political act.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > From cultural action to contextual projects > Interview Anne Le Goff & Zineb Benzekri

We invited the Random Collective to implement a project over several months in Sotteville-lès-Rouen, in a neighbourhood, around Situation(s) initiative, which the Random Collective has been running since 2012. It is unique in its relationship with the public and residents and takes place in a particular public space.

When we first began recognition, it was really interesting to notice that there wasn't really a great community life. The real challenge for us with this place was that we needed to invent a way of incorporating the place and its residents.

The method was to gather a team from the collective each day, and send them off each morning, telling them that they had to go out around the area and try, by the end of the day, to come back and tell the team about an encounter with a place or person.

The goal of the week was to be adopted into a family, find an adoptive family and at the end of the week, create something, a kind of duo, with their adoptive family.

All this was actually a pretext for getting initial consent. For them to say : "all right, I'll agree to do three minutes or one minute with an artist". Once we had an adoptive family — after a year keeping in contact with them — we came back, with the idea of being invited to their family events.

In return, the artist invited the family to Atelier 231 and created a fun little artistic capsule telling about their experience and themselves as well. Behind it, there were actually two poetic and artistic strategies.

First, the goal was to identify the skills and unexpected leaders in each place, and then to create opportunities for little intermediate moments, and experience them with them through their world, so as to eventually bring everyone together for a collective neighbourhood party, where everyone played their own role.

Our role at the neighbourhood party was to sort of act as the band leader and binding element. By nature, the aesthetics of these writings change and are malleable.

What makes the Atelier 231 project so special, besides improving the process of Situation(s), is the fact that we put ourselves into the story too. We

include ourselves in the narration. Throughout the entire process we filmed ourselves with our phones and wrote our journals.

Ultimately, what we were telling was also our own story in the process. So it was about the party, but also the ability to celebrate with someone else who you don't know and who comes from somewhere else, and that applied to us too.

So for me, this narrative of ourselves avoids creating a moralising narrative, or being in the situation of a well-meaning artist who brings a bit of joy to a little neighbourhood.

There is a point where things are uncertain, and this artistic act is in what is saying: "It's relational because I'm putting myself out there and I'm revealing something about myself too, as an artist"

These are really site-sensitive projects, meaning they're truly inspired by a neighbourhood, not just by its geographic and physical aspects, and how it can be used as a physical public space, but also in terms of an emotional and relational public space, between the local residents and actors.

All the social landlords' employee teams were involved in the project as volunteers... And then, there were things that created other relationships between the residents of the neighbourhood.

There's also the idea of shifting away from the usual dissemination methods. The focus is on infusion, and the final act was really for the neighbourhood, for the residents. The idea wasn't at all to invite an outside audience.

But, with the mirror parties that Zineb talked about, we invited people from the neighbourhood back to Atelier 231. I think that's what's important with these kinds of projects is to give a "carte blanche" to the artists and trust them.

With these projects, the artists make themselves vulnerable. That's what Zineb was talking about: the aesthetics are unknown at the beginning. We're not working from an artist's aesthetic comfort zone. We're really creating with people and setting out to discover other worlds.

The ultimate artistic goal is more of a relational work than an artistic work. It is a work inspired by the neighbourhood, by its substance.





## Module 4

### The place of audiences > From cultural actions to contextual projects > Different types of project

There are two main types of participatory projects.

**Specific creations** are often commissioned from an artist. The commission may have a very strict or looser framework, but resident participation is generally central. However, it remains unpredictable and it is not unheard of for artists to take over when participant contribution is too weak. These creations have an especially strong impact on those involved and the **process** is sometimes more important than the end result.

**Protocol reations** are the most common. Artists produce an outline or canvas that can be transposed and adapted to each location, depending on the participants. The concept of protocol highlights the artist's need to precisely and formally manage participation. This ensures the best compliance with their artistic approach.

The creation *Les Veilleurs* by choreographer Joanne Leighton is a unique project and a good example of the concept of individual participation. It was initially created solely for the city of Belfort in France. It caught the eye of the operators, who succeeded in convincing Leighton to rework it for other cities. The principle is simple: a temporary wooden box with glass windows is installed at a high point in the city for 365 days, and a man or woman watch over their city from sunrise until sunset.

Leighton's only condition, which underpins the entire project, is that the chain remains unbroken throughout the year. Someone must always be in the box from sunrise to sunset.

This is an exceptional length of time. In every city, the artist works closely with the operator to organise specific opportunities to discuss and meet with the resident one-day watchmen and women in order to create a potentially lasting community. The author uses *Les Veilleurs* to challenge the relationship we each have with our city, the ancestral notion of keeping watch and the quality of presence, which characterises the state of watchfulness in which those involved in the adventure are immersed.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > From cultural action to contextual projects > Interview Mark Denbigh

Norfolk art festival has a variety of audiences and we work across the organisation to develop those audiences so to grow them and to diversify them. There are various challenges with developing those audiences. A challenge for us might be that to try and encourage an audience member to move away from their traditional art form, and into an experience of other art forms. Another challenge is across the geographical region that the festival presents its work in.

We're based inside a city but also inside the county. So to try to attract people in who might not necessarily know who we are or what we're doing.

In 2015 Norfolk & Norwich festival worked with Wildworks to create a show called Wolf's Child which was an outdoor promenade theatre piece. And to create it, we needed to work with a local community of participants — around 80 to 100 people to make the show work.

And there was a complexity because the project was happening 30 miles away from our office, in the woods. So to achieve this we worked with lots of different partners and we spent a long time to get to know that local community and to understand what we needed from them and what they'd gained from the experience.

By doing that, we developed a great team of participants for the project and we also were connecting with a completely different audience to our normal festival audience because we were working in a different location. This was a long journey, and it wasn't that the artists arrived on day one and said this is the project we want to make.

They really came with the start of an idea and a theme, but the detail that came inside it was very open and collaborative. It was really a two-way conversation between the artists and those participants.

So they would meet, they would go to the pub, and talk about things, and listen to things, and then a few weeks later, that would change the way that an element would work.

So it was really important for that show that the detail inside it remained open and flexible. The artists did a really great job of listening to those participants and taking their skills on board and their experience and their knowledge, to make the show what it was in the end. So the participants that were involved were a real mixture of people: there were some families, there were children, there were mothers and daughters and fathers and sons involved in the show.

So during the show itself, the participants obviously got to know each other, new friendships were formed, ideas were shared. Since the end of the project we've kept in touch with the participants to find out what they're up to and if there are any new opportunities with us.

And then separately they've kept in touch with each other, and new friendships have blossomed out of that. So I think it's really important that when artists are thinking about projects that they're also thinking about the opportunities for people to engage in the projects in different ways and for audiences to come across or explore, or get under the skin of artistic projects in as many ways as possible.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > From cultural actions to contextual projects > Drawing inspiration from the local context

Over the past 20 years, local context has become a key concept for art in public space. Artistic creation was previously restricted to shows touring from one festival to another and has gradually extended to include the places, landscapes and social or political context in which they are performed.

For programmers, this involves greater consideration of the environment in which the programme is presented. For artists, the challenge is to build ties with the audience and stop considering their work without taking into account context.

The contextual dimension is therefore essential in these projects. This does not necessarily mean that they are unique creations specifically created for a place or community. Artists can work with a protocol that they adapt. Their approach must resonate with the context and community to make the proposal relevant and appropriate.

These kinds of creations can take very different forms: artistic residencies, creation of artistic objects, comic strip or book publications, etc. The notion of public space lies in the bond created between the artist and the territory and in the spaces for encountering users.

This is true of *Temples* by artist David Best, presented in Derry, Londonderry. In this Northern Irish city, which has been profoundly scarred by the troubles and community divisions, the artist uses the practice of the Burning Man to question its meaning.

David Best worked with residents to design and build a carved wood temple. People left messages in the temple, such as a memory, a name, a hope or aspiration. In Derry, thousands of residents visited the *Temple*, some several times, to leave a thought, memory or tribute, and to read what others had written.

In this unique historical and cultural context, David Best's *Temple* took on a particularly strong political dimension, transcending communities and differences. The Burning Man ritual was especially meaningful to all those who had left personal thoughts or wishes in the *Temple*.

On a larger scale, it symbolised the population's ability to achieve unity, and to break free from their differences and troubled past.



## Module 4

### The place of audiences > From cultural actions to contextual projects > Working in the long term

Let's continue our exploration of contextual projects. One key characteristic is their long-term nature.

It takes time to build ties between a project and its environment, social context and the people involved. These processes often take place over several months.

Artists or companies take up residencies and fully immerse themselves in the environment. Although some contextual projects are not repeated, **other projects may become longer term.**

This is true of *GR2013*, created by the Bureau des Guides in Marseille, France. It started in 2013 when a group of walking artists created a huge hiking trail called *GR2013*. This trail is unique as it crosses 38 municipalities around Marseille, varying between natural, urban and suburban landscapes. The entire trail circuit is 365 kilometres long.

Its success in 2013 led to the artists creating an association, the Bureau des Guides. They now organise walks based on the initial trail. They also create made-to-measure trails, organise events and work with residents, groups and local authorities. Other actions include coordinating conferences and exhibitions.

This example shows how a project can bring together local development, tourism activities and artistic creation. It is representative of a new generation of projects, in which artists question the relationship between residents and their territory and propose new ways of discovering a territory.

It also shows that contextual projects are more than just artistic actions. They generally involve hybrid approaches, led by artists and operators or cultural organisations.